UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,535	09/29/2003	Alan F. Wolfschoon-Pombo	67166	1849
	7590 01/23/2007 ΓABIN & FLANNERY	1	EXAMINER	
120 S. LASALLE STREET			WONG, LESLIE A	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL (60603-3406		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
·			1761	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	A BEDIOD OF BESDONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	VMODE
SHUKTENED STATUTOR	FERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AYS	01/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Ammlia-4ia- Al-	Ameliaanida	
	Application No.		\
Office Action Summary	10/673,535		I-POMBO ET AL.
omee Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAII ING DATE of this comm	Leslie Wong	1761	nddroos
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	ancauon appears on the cove	r sneet with the correspondence a	auaress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS CO ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how mmunication. statutory period will apply and will expire ply will, by statute, cause the application of s after the mailing date of this communic	DMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) f 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	2b)⊠ This action is non-fin on for allowance except for fo	rmal matters, prosecution as to t	he merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restrict	/are withdrawn from consider		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific and the specific and	e: a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be helding the correction is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies	ty documents have been received documents have been received the priority documents had been received the priority documents had been received to the priority documents have been received t	eived. eived in Application No ave been received in this National (a)).	al Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: Part of Paper No./Mail	Data 20070117

Art Unit: 1761

This action replaces the office action of May 1, 2006.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 6-16, 18, and 19, drawn to a process for preparing a whey product, the product, and use of the product, classified in class 426, subclass 585.
- II. Claims 5-15 and 17-19, drawn to a process for preparing a whey product, the product, and use of the product, classified in class 426, subclass 582.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter and their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

It is noted that claims 6-15, 18, and 19 are dependent on both inventions.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,535

Art Unit: 1761

535 Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslié Wong

Primary Examiner

Jeslie Worry

Art Unit 1761

LAW January 17, 2007